

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,936	08/07/2001	Eric Romanski	2126-179	5169
20999 75	90 10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			WRIGHT, ANDREW D	
745 FIFTH AV	ENUE- 10TH FL. NY 10151		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			3617	-
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/923,936	ROMANSKI ET AL.	A			
nancery near	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Wright	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 September 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed	amendment t			
 The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	-1-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-	to issues which we	re newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 4.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5-13</u> .						
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine Hawthrone with McCullough because Hawthorne teaches away from using a coating that renders the fabric buoyant because Hawthorne teaches that the buoyancy is created by the content of the vessel. In response it is noted that the motivation provided in the Office Action of 5/28/04 is to enhance the buoyancy, not provide buoyancy. Since Hawthorne teaches that the buoyancy of the vessel is provided by the liquid contents of te vessel, if there is little or no contents in the vessel than the vessel has no buoyancy. And this is undersirable because the vessel may sink and become difficult to handle. The addition of buoyancy via a coating on the fabric would enhacne the buoayncy of the vessel such that the vessel could float even if there is insufficient contents to provide buoyancy to the vessel. Hawthrone does not teach away from such an enhacnement of the vessel buoyancy. And the motivation is such that is found in the knowledge generally available to the skilled artisan. therefore, the combination is proper.

AND COURSE